



United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/046,838	01/15/2002	Wah Hing Cheung	MAC-002	5095	
	7590 07/14/2004		EXAMINER		
David P. Gordon, Esq. 65 Woods End Road			CHIANG, JACK		
Stamford, CT	06905		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2642	3	
		DATE MAILED		4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1	Application No.	Applicant(s)	1		
Office Action Summary	10/046838		C. Wah		
Office Action Summary	10046838 Examiner	larg	Group Art Unit 7642	3	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet	beneath the co	rrespondence add	ress—	
eriod for Response	1				
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	S SET TO EXPIRE C	MONT	H(S) FROM THE		
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) da If NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by a Failure to respond within the set or extended period for response w 	ys, a response within the statu default, expire SIX (6) MONTH	tory minimum of the	uirty (30) days will be con date of this communica	nsidered time	
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	1-15-02			·	
☐ This action is FINAL .					
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance exce accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 19			the merits is close	d in	
Disposition of Claims					
Ø Claim(s)		is/are p	ending in the applic	ation.	
Of the above claim(s)		is/are v	vithdrawn from consi	deration.	
☐ Claim(s)		is/are a	ıllowed.		
☐ Claim(s)		is/are r	ejected.		
☐ Claim(s)		is/are o	bjected to.		
© Claim(s)		are sub		election	
Application Papers					
approaction i aporo					
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw	-				
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗆 approved	☐ disapproved	d.		
 □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw □ The proposed drawing correction, filed onis/are objection 	is 🗆 approved	☐ disapproved	i .		
 □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved ected to by the Examiner.	☐ disapproved	1.		
 □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved ected to by the Examiner.	☐ disapproved	1.		
 □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved ected to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a) of the priority documents is)-(d). nave been			
 □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved ected to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a) of the priority documents in th)-(d). nave been			
 □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved ected to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a) of the priority documents in th	n-(d). nave been Rule 1 7.2(a)).			
 □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved ected to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a) of the priority documents in th	n-(d). nave been Rule 1 7.2(a)).			
□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved ected to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a) of the priority documents been and the priority documents been according to the priority docume	n-(d). nave been Rule 1 7.2(a)).	·		
□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num □ received in this national stage application from the In *Certified copies not received: Attachment(s)	is approved ected to by the Examiner. under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a) of the priority documents in th	nave been Rule 1 7.2(a)).	·	n, PTO-152	

Application/Control Number: 10/046,838

Art Unit: 2642

RESTRICTION

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-7, drawn to the combination of speaker/mike, cable/connector

and a tube, classified in class 379, subclass 430.

II. Claims 8-31, drawn to an earphone and a tube, classified in class 379,

subclass 420.04.

2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

3. Inventions Group I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be

shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different

modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP §

808.01). In the instant case the different inventions involve the cable/connector and the

tube in Group I, and Group II does not require the cable and connector operation.

4. Each of the Groups I and II contains claims directed to the following patentably

distinct species of the claimed invention:

Group I, claims 1-7, contains the following species:

Specie 1, claims 2-5, various materials for the tube;

Specie 2, claims 6-7, a structure of the tube.

Group II, claims 8-32, contains the following species:

Specie 1, claims 9-12, various materials for the tube;

Specie 2, claims 13-14, a structure of the tube;

Page 2

. Application/Control Number: 10/046,838

Art Unit: 2642

Specie 3, claims 15-18, 26-27, 31, an enclosure and the speaker;

Specie 4, claims 15, 23-25, 32, an enclosure and the microphone;

Specie 5, claims 15, 19-21, an enclosure and the structure of the sound passage;

Specie 6, claims 15, 28, an enclosure and the storage of the tube;

Specie 7, claims 15, 29, an enclosure and the fastener;

Specie 8, claims 15, 30, an enclosure and the earplug structure.

(NOTE: applicant is advised to elect one group from Groups I and II, and further elect one specie from the elected group for examination purpose).

5. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no generic claim.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims

Application/Control Number: 10/046,838

Art Unit: 2642

are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 6. A telephone call was made to Mr. David P. Gordon on 06-10-04 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, Mr. Gordon has asked for a written restriction.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jack Chiang whose telephone number is 703-305-4728. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. from 8:00 to 6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ahmad Matar, can be reached on 703-305-4731. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Page 5

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jack Çhiang Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2642